

Judge Benjamin H. Settle

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT TACOMA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
Plaintiff,
v.
BRANDON RONALD COLLADOC
Defendant.

NO. CR23-5000-01 BHS

UNITED STATES SENTENCING
MEMORANDUM

Brandon Collado appears before this Court for sentencing in the above-captioned case pursuant to his guilty plea on June 7, 2023, to Attempted Burglary of a Federally Insured Credit Union in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 2113 and 2. The United States respectfully recommends the Court impose a custodial sentence of 33 months followed by a three-year term of supervised release, order restitution (joint and several) in the amount of \$198,018.85, and order payment of the mandatory fee of \$100.00. The recommended 33-month sentence is sufficient, but not greater than necessary, to achieve the goals of sentencing.

I. BACKGROUND

A. Offense Conduct

On October 24, 2022, at 5:54AM, Tumwater Fire Department (TFD) personnel responded to a fire alarm activation at an O Bee Credit Union branch in Tumwater, Washington. The first firefighters to arrive saw flames between one and two feet tall coming from the top of the ATM, which was embedded in an exterior wall of the credit union. Firefighters suppressed the flames with fire extinguishers and hose lines. Firefighters also entered the building and found smoke in the interior of the credit union. Firefighters noticed additional damage to the building and requested Tumwater Police Department (TPD) officers respond to investigate a possible break-in attempt.

Responding TPD officers observed both fire damage (char marks) and other damage (flame-based cuts and pry marks) to the ATM, the bank deposit box (also embedded in the exterior wall of the credit union), the drive-thru teller window, and an exterior door. The damage to the ATM and night deposit box was consistent with an attempt to access the cash (and checks) inside each; the damage to the teller window and exterior door was consistent with an attempt to get inside the credit union.

Investigators obtained the surveillance footage from several exterior cameras at the credit union. On the footage, they could see that at approximately 12:46AM on October 24, 2022, a Subaru station wagon pulled into the credit union and parked well away from the building in the northeast corner of the parking lot. Then, between 12:57AM and 5:11AM, Brandon Collado and his co-defendant, Randall Taufete'e, used hand tools (e.g., a pry bar), power tools (e.g., an electric saw), and fire (via an oxyacetylene torch system)¹ on the ATM, the deposit box, the teller window, and the exterior door. Mr. Collado had a scanner/radio that was programmed to scan the local police dispatch channels. On at least two occasions during that five-and-a-quarter-hour

¹ The ATF's Fire Research Laboratory has opined that oxyacetylene torch systems produce fire in the form of a flame during operation.

1 period, Mr. Collado and Mr. Taufete'e left the area in the Subaru and returned with
 2 additional tools.

3 Shortly after 5:00AM, the ATM started smoking heavily. Mr. Collado and Mr.
 4 Taufete'e walked around the corner briefly, then ran in the direction of their Subaru. The
 5 surveillance then showed another vehicle – an apparent customer – approaching the
 6 ATM. This customer called 911 to report the fire. The total cost for the damage to O Bee
 7 Credit Union was \$198,018.85.

8 **B. Procedural History**

9 After the fire and attempted burglary at O Bee Credit Union on October 24,
 10 investigators began working to identify the two suspects shown in the surveillance video.
 11 Three days later, TPD officers were dispatched to a possible weapons violation at an
 12 apartment building in Tumwater. When they arrived, Mr. Taufete'e emerged from the
 13 passenger seat of a Subaru station wagon. Eventually, TPD officers arrested Mr.
 14 Taufete'e on a weapons violation. Aware of the credit union bureau fire, officers
 15 photographed the Subaru. They also determined that Mr. Collado was driving the Subaru
 16 Mr. Taufete'e got out of.

17 Mr. Taufete'e was booked on his weapons violation and quickly pled guilty. He
 18 was sentenced to serve 27.75 months in state prison.²

19 Law enforcement impounded and searched the Subaru and found numerous hand
 20 tools, gas tanks for the oxyacetylene torch system, clothing that had been worn during the
 21 burglary, and Mr. Collado's Social Security card. A grand jury indicted Mr. Collado and
 22 Mr. Taufete'e on January 4, 2023, on charges of Arson, Attempted Burglary of a
 23 Federally Insured Credit Union, and Use of Fire to Commit a Felony. Dkt. 3. Agents
 24 arrested Mr. Collado on January 11, 2023; he has been in custody at the Federal

26 _____
 27 ² Mr. Taufete'e would ultimately appear in federal court for these proceedings through a writ issued to the
 Department of Corrections. Dkts. 21, 37. Trial is set to begin in late January 2024.

1 Detention Center since. Dkts. 10, 19, 45, 55. He pled guilty to Attempted Burglary of a
 2 Federally Insured Credit Union on June 7, 2023.

3 **II. SENTENCING GUIDELINES CALCULATIONS**

4 **A. Offense Level**

5 The parties have agreed the base offense level for Attempted Burglary of a Federal
 6 Credit Union is 12, pursuant to USSG § 2B2.1(a)(2). Presentence Report (PSR) ¶ 16. The
 7 parties also agree that there is a three-level enhancement for the loss amount, pursuant to
 8 USSG § 2B2.1(b)(2)(D). PSR ¶ 18.

9 However, the parties dispute whether a two-level enhancement applies for “more
 10 than minimal planning,” pursuant to USSG § 2B2.1(b)(1). As the Probation Office notes
 11 in the PSR, the Guideline commentary defines “more than minimal planning” as “more
 12 than is typical for commission of the offense in a simple form” and “also exists if
 13 significant attempts were taken to conceal the offense.” PSR Addendum; USSG § 2B2.1,
 14 comment. (n.4). “More than minimal planning” is also present “in any case involving
 15 repeated acts over a period of time, unless it is clear that each instance was purely
 16 opportune.” *Id.*

17 Here, Mr. Collado and his co-defendant used multiple, different tools as they
 18 attempted to break into the ATM, night deposit box, and credit union building.
 19 Surveillance footage shows them using at least three different tools: pry bars, saws, and
 20 fire via an oxyacetylene torch system. They wore gaiters/bandanas to conceal their faces
 21 and purple nitrile gloves to avoid leaving fingerprints. They had a scanner programmed to
 22 police channels. They left and returned at least twice. They tried to break into the ATM
 23 through its front, attempted to enter the bank through a back door, and tried to break into
 24 the night deposit box. Although any one of these facts considered in isolation may not be
 25 “more than minimal planning,” when *considered in their totality* they are “more than
 26 typical,” constitute “significant attempts to conceal the offense,” and took place over a
 27

1 long period of time, i.e., between midnight and 5:00AM. None of the individual acts was
 2 purely opportune.

3 Despite leaving to get different tools and then using them, Mr. Collado and Mr.
 4 Taufete'e were ultimately unsuccessful in breaking into the ATM and credit union
 5 building. Their face coverings occasionally slipped down, and their nitrile gloves split.
 6 They had to abandon their efforts when a customer pulled into the parking lot. However,
 7 their poor planning and ultimate failure to breach the ATM, night deposit box, or credit
 8 union building itself is not the inquiry before the Court. The inquiry is whether all their
 9 efforts, *combined*, constituted more than minimal planning: they did. On the facts of this
 10 case, the Court should apply the two-level enhancement and find an adjusted offense
 11 level of 17 as calculated by the Probation Office. PSR ¶ 17. With three levels off for
 12 acceptance of responsibility, the Court should find a total offense level of 14.

13 **B. Criminal History Category and Guidelines Range**

14 Mr. Collado has an extensive criminal history as an adult. PSR ¶¶ 30-49. Much of
 15 it is too old to score. The offenses that do score include a 15-month sentence for violation
 16 of a post-conviction no contact order (¶ 41), malicious mischief (¶ 42), a 19.5-month
 17 sentence for theft of a motor vehicle and burglary (¶ 43), false statement (¶ 44), drug
 18 paraphernalia (¶ 45), and theft of a motor vehicle (¶ 46). All told, Mr. Collado scores 12
 19 points and is in category V. With a total offense level of 14 and a criminal history
 20 category V, the advisory guidelines range is 33 to 41 months.

21 **III. FACTORS RELATED TO SENTENCING RECOMMENDATION**

22 The United States respectfully requests that the Court sentence Mr. Collado at the
 23 low end of the advisory range, i.e., 33 months of confinement, followed by a three-year
 24 term of supervised release. The United States believes this sentence is appropriate in light
 25 of “the nature and circumstances of the offense,” the need for the sentence “to reflect the
 26 seriousness of the offense, to promote respect for the law, and to provide just punishment
 27 for the offense,” and “to protect the public from further crimes of the defendant.”

1 18 U.S.C. §§ 3553(a)(1), (a)(2)(A), and (a)(2)(C). It is also sufficient, but not greater than
 2 necessary, to achieve these goals. A review of pertinent Section 3553(a) sentencing
 3 factors, below, supports the recommended sentence.

4 **A. Nature and Circumstances, and Seriousness of the Offense**

5 Mr. Collado's offense caused nearly \$200,000 in damage to the O Bee Credit
 6 Union. Although no one was injured and even though the heist was ultimately
 7 unsuccessful, this was a serious crime. It also represents a notable escalation in Mr.
 8 Collado's criminal conduct. Although Mr. Collado has stolen before – merchandise from
 9 Sears (PSR ¶ 37), a truck from a church parking lot (¶ 43), a purple Honda (¶ 46) – none
 10 of those thefts had any element of destruction to them. Even the theft of the truck from a
 11 church parking lot involved Mr. Collado crawling under a fence, not damaging it by
 12 cutting through it. In contrast, the fire Mr. Collado and his co-defendant caused at the
 13 ATM could have spread to the entire building. The ATM and teller window had to be
 14 replaced. There was smoke damage to the interior of the credit union, which had to close
 15 for a short time.

16 **B. History and Characteristics of the Defendant**

17 The United States acknowledges Mr. Collado's troubled childhood and drug
 18 addiction. But, after six months of court-ordered intensive outpatient treatment some ten
 19 years ago, he returned to substance abuse. Each time Mr. Collado got out of jail or prison,
 20 he returned to criminality in short order. He has been on supervision with the Department
 21 of Corrections off and on over the past five years but did not take advantage of any
 22 resources or opportunities offered. Instead, he was arrested for violations of his
 23 community custody in 2018, 2019, 2020, and 2022.

24 **C. Need to Promote Respect for the Law, Provide Just Punishment for the
 25 Offense, and Afford Adequate Deterrence**

26 Mr. Collado has served five significant, escalating sentences in state custody: a 12-
 27 month sentence in 2011 (PSR ¶ 40), a 15-month sentence in 2012-2013 (PSR ¶ 41), a

1 19.5-month sentence in 2014-2015 (PSR ¶ 43), an 18-month sentence in 2015 (¶ 45), and
 2 a 22-month sentence in 2017-2018 (PSR ¶ 46). Mr. Collado's persistent reoffending
 3 shows that he has little respect for the law. None of those sentences deterred Mr. Collado
 4 from committing additional crimes. A sentence of imprisonment significantly above these
 5 prior sentences, like the 33 months recommended here, reflects just punishment for this
 6 offense and – hopefully – provides the respect and deterrence previous state prison terms
 7 did not.

8 **D. Need to Protect the Public from Further Crimes, the Kinds of Sentences
 9 Available, and Any Necessary Educational or Vocational Training or Other Special
 10 Care**

11 A 33-month term of imprisonment protects the public from further crimes. The
 12 United States does not know of any necessary educational or vocational training, but to
 13 the extent Mr. Collado can participate in Bureau of Prisons programming that furthers his
 14 education or vocational skills, he should do so. It also appears he would benefit from
 15 mental health therapy and substance abuse treatment.

16 **E. Need to Avoid Unwarranted Sentence Disparity Among Similarly Situated
 17 Defendants**

18 As the Probation Office noted, not many defendants are similarly situated to Mr.
 19 Collado. *See* PSR at p. 20. His co-defendant has a more significant criminal history and is
 20 proceeding to trial on offenses that carry mandatory minimum terms of imprisonment, so
 21 even that will not provide much in the way of a comparison.

22 **IV. RESTITUTION**

23 Restitution is mandatory under Title 18, United States Code, Section 3663A. Part
 24 of Mr. Collado's plea included his acknowledgement of the restitution and agreement to
 25 cooperate in paying it. Dkt. 59 at p.8. The amount of loss to O Bee Credit Union is
 26 \$198,018.85; because the loss amount was covered by O Bee's insurance carrier,
 27 CO/Action, restitution is due to the insurance carrier.

1 The government believes the judgment should read as follows: "Defendant's
2 liability for the full amount of restitution shall be joint and several with co-defendant
3 Randall Taufete'e, to the extent Randall Taufete'e is ordered to pay restitution in Case
4 No. CR23-5000-02BHS." If it becomes necessary to revisit this language after Mr.
5 Taufete'e's trial, the government file the necessary motion with the Court.

6 **V. CONCLUSION**

7 For all of the reasons set forth above, the government respectfully recommends 33
8 months of imprisonment followed by a three-year term of supervised release, an order for
9 restitution (joint and several) in the amount of \$198,018.85, and payment of the
10 mandatory fee of \$100.00.

11 DATED this 29th day of August, 2023.

13 Respectfully submitted,

15 TESSA M. GORMAN
16 Acting United States Attorney

17 *s/Marci L. Ellsworth*
18 MARCI L. ELLSWORTH
19 Assistant United States Attorney
United States Attorney's Office
1201 Pacific Avenue, Suite 700
20 Tacoma, Washington 98402
Phone: 253-428-3819
Fax: 253-428-3826
21 Email: Marci.Ellsworth@usdoj.gov